UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,769	03/03/2004	Kazunori Yamanaka	040094	3203	
23850 7590 09/25/2007 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER		
			MANCUSO, HUEDUNG XUAN CAO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2821	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•	•		09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/790,769	YAMANAKA ET	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		Huedung Cao Ma					
Dorlad &	The MAILING DATE of this communication	•	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iddress			
Period fo	• •						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower tion. In a reply within the statutory miny period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered times SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iely. communication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 24 October 2006.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			al Stage			
* (application from the International	· ·	• • •	•			
•	See the attached detailed Office action fo	i a list of the certified co	pies not received.				
A44.a.a.b							
Attachmer	ก(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	ΛΠ	Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ Other:	ΓΟ-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's remarks have been fully considered and have been found to be convincing with respect to Park. Specifically, the applicant argues that the claim requires an "electromagnetic coupled via a space" and that this is not shown by the references. However, a new reference that shows this very conventional technique is applied in the rejections below and this action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted Prior Art (Specification, page 1-3) in view of Park (US 6,850,128 B2) and further in view of Choudhury (US 4,985,400).

As to claim 10, Prior Art teaches an antenna coupling module comprised of a planar antenna and a substrate forming a planar superconductive high frequency circuit arranged in a perpendicular direction with respect to the element surface of said planar

Art Unit: 2821

antenna and having said planar antenna (Specification, page 1, line 32-page 2, line 30). It is noted that Prior art does not explicitly disclose that said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit electromagnetically coupled via a space. However, Park teaches such electromagnetically coupling via a space is well known in the art (see Park Abstract "electrical coupling relies on electromagnetic coupling ... as opposed to direct contact between conductors", for example). Further, the basic idea of electromagnetically connecting elements via space (rather than a direct physical connection) is extremely well known in these types of devices and Park is cited as one example of this basic concept. Also, Park, like the other references, is directed to an antenna system, so is therefore relevant and analogous prior art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by having said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit electromagnetically coupled via a space because without the through hole there're will be no disrupt structural integrity of material. Furthermore, PA and Park do not explicitly teach that the oxide superconductor for said superconductive high frequency circuit or said planar antenna is at least one type of oxide high-temperature superconductor selected from the group comprised of Bi.sub.n1Sr.sub.n2Ca.sub.n3Cu.sub.n4O.sub.n5 (where, 1.8.ltoreq.n1.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.n2.ltoreq.2.2, 0.9.ltoreq.n3.ltoreq.1.2,

1.8.ltoreq.n4.ltoreq.2.2, and 7.8.ltoreq.n5.ltoreq.8.4),

Pb.sub.k1Bi.sub.k2Sr.sub.k3Ca.sub.k4Cu.sub.k5O-.sub.k6 (where,

- 1.8.ltoreq.k1+k2.ltoreq.2.2, 0.ltoreq.k1.ltoreq.0.6, 1.8.ltoreq.k3.ltoreq.2.2,
- 1.8.ltoreq.k4.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.k5.ltoreq.2.2, and 9.5.ltoreq.k6.ltoreq.10.8),

Art Unit: 2821

Y.sub.m1Ba.sub.m2Cu.sub.m3O.sub.m4 (where, 0.5.ltoreq.m1.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.m.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.m3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.m4.ltoreq.7.0), Nd.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4 (where, 0.5.ltoreq.p1.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.p2.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.p3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.p4.ltoreq.7.0), Nd.sub.q1Y.sub.q2Ba.sub.q3Cu.sub.q4O.sub.q5 (where, 0.ltoreq.q1.ltoreq.1.2, O.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.1.2, 0.5.ltoreq.q1+q2.ltoreq.1-.2, 1.8.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.q3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.q4.ltoreq.7.0), Sm.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4. Choudhury teaches those oxide superconductor is well known in the art see Choudhury (col. 1, line 56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such oxide superconductor to enhance the signal of the antenna.

As to claim 2, wherein the perpendicular distance of the electromagnetically coupled space has a length of not more than 1/4 of the effective wavelength which Prior art does not explicitly disclose. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that various length of electromagnetically coupled space can be used depending upon the desired application in order to improve and strength a performance of the antenna.

As to claim 3, wherein said effective wavelength includes from a microwave to a milliwave band (Specification, page 2, lines 31-36).

As to claim 4, wherein said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit have a ¼ wavelength type feeder line, respectively as a coupling circuit thereof (Specification, page 3, lines 25-32).

Art Unit: 2821

As to claim 5, wherein a dielectric body is arranged between 1/4 feeder lines for coupling circuit of said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit (Specification, page 3, lines 25-32).

As to claim 6, wherein at least one type of ingredient selected from the group consisting of magnesium oxide, mullite, forsterite, titanium oxide, lanthanum aluminate, sapphire, alumina, strontium titanate, magnesium titanate, calcium titanate, quartz glass, polytetraiuoro-ethylene, polyethylene, a polyimide,polymethylmethacrylate, a glass-epoxy composite, and a glass-polgetraiuoroethylene composite is used as the ingredient of the dielectric body (Specification, page 3, lines 4-8).

As to claim 7, wherein an oxide superconductor is used as the conductor of said superconductive high frequency circuit, and said superconductive high frequency circuit has at least one type of circuit selected from the group comprised of a phase circuit, filter circuit, through line, delay circuit, coupler, distribution circuit, and composite circuit (Specification, page 2, lines 7-19, and lines 19-25).

As to claim 8, wherein said planar antenna has at least one type of antenna element of the dipole type, patch type, and log-periodic type (Specification, page 2, lines 7-10).

As to claim 9, wherein an oxide superconductor is used as the conductor for said planar antenna (Specification, page 2, lines 19-25).

Regarding claims 10, and 13, the Prior art fails to specifically teach that the oxide superconductor for said superconductive high frequency circuit or said planar antenna is at least one type of oxide high-temperature superconductor selected from the group

Art Unit: 2821

comprised of Bi.sub.n1Sr.sub.n2Ca.sub.n3Cu.sub.n4O.sub.n5 (where,

1.8.ltoreq.n1.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.n2.ltoreq.2.2, 0.9.ltoreq.n3.ltoreq.1.2,

1.8.ltoreq.n4.ltoreq.2.2, and 7.8.ltoreq.n5.ltoreq.8.4),

Pb.sub.k1Bi.sub.k2Sr.sub.k3Ca.sub.k4Cu.sub.k5O-.sub.k6 (where,

1.8.ltoreq.k1+k2.ltoreq.2.2, 0.ltoreq.k1.ltoreq.0.6, 1.8.ltoreq.k3.ltoreq.2.2,

1.8.ltoreq.k4.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.k5.ltoreq.2.2, and 9.5.ltoreq.k6.ltoreq.10.8),

Y.sub.m1Ba.sub.m2Cu.sub.m3O.sub.m4 (where, 0.5.ltoreq.m1.ltoreq.1.2,

1.8.ltoreq.m.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.m3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.m4.ltoreq.7.0),

Nd.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4 (where, 0.5.ltoreq.p1.ltoreq.1.2,

1.8.ltoreq.p2.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.p3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.p4.ltoreq.7.0),

Nd.sub.q1Y.sub.q2Ba.sub.q3Cu.sub.q4O.sub.q5

(where, 0.ltoreq.q1.ltoreq.1.2, O.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.1.2, 0.5.ltoreq.q1+q2.ltoreq.1-.2,

1.8.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.q3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.q4.ltoreq.7.0),

Sm.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4 (where, ss to claim 11, wherein said planar

antenna is a non-superconductive element which Prior art does not explicitly disclose.

However, it is inherent that the planar antenna is made out with non-superconductive

element for different kind of antenna system.

Claim 13 is similar in scope to claim 10; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason.

Art Unit: 2821

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted Prior Art (Specification, page 1-3) in view of Park et al. (US 6,850,128 B2) and Choudhury (US 4,985,400), and further in view of Shen (High temperature superconducting microwave circuits).

Claim 12 adds into claim 1, wherein said superconductive high frequency circuit or said planar antenna is cooled to not more than 100K which none of the above prior art explicitly teach. However, Shen teaches the superconductive high frequency circuit or the planar antenna is cooled to not more than 100K is well known in the art (Shen, pages 104-105). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of teaching of Shen to configure Prior art's antenna system as claimed, doing so it would help to get the desired frequency needed.

Art Unit: 2821

Inquiries

Page 8

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Huedung Mancuso whose telephone number is (571)

272-1939.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Douglas Owens, can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huedung Mancuso Patent Examiner Thinks